

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/750,824	THERING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Houshang Safaipour	2622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Houshang Safaipour. (3)_____.

(2) David Novais (Reg. No. 33,324). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: August 10, 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-52.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: I called Applicant's attorney Mr. David Novais and discussed the claims 1-52. I told Mr. Novais that the claims are restrictable and asked him to elect one of the following groups for examination.
(See Continuation Sheet)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Group 1	Claims 1-4
Group 2	Claims 5-9
Group 3	Claims 10-13
Group 4	Claims 14-17
Group 5	Claims 18-20
Group 6	Claim 21
Group 7	Claims 22, 23
Group 8	Claims 24-26
Group 9	Claims 27, 28
Group 10	Claims 29-35
Group 11	Claims 36-39
Group 12	Claims 40-42
Group 13	Claims 43, 44
Group 14	Claims 45-52

During the telephone conversation with Mr. Novalis election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group 1, claims 1-4.